Overview

It is Collin County Mental Health Mental Retardation Center’s (d/b/a LifePath Systems) intent to conduct all of its business and clinical practices in a manner that delivers the highest quality possible. To achieve this goal we use a systematic, objective and continuous process for monitoring quality. It includes evaluating and improving the quality and appropriateness of service delivery. Effectively monitoring service delivery ensures existing standards of care are achieved and becomes the framework for quality improvement and the engagement of stakeholders.

This Quality Management Plan (“QMP” or “Plan”) combines service delivery from all three operating divisions: Behavioral Health (BH), Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD), and Early Childhood Services (ECS). Many of the quality principles also apply to the Administration Division, which provides essential support services to all operating divisions. This holistic approach to quality allows us to focus on the overall satisfaction of the individuals who receive our services (regardless of the organization’s structure). The culmination of quality measurements and assessments allow LifePath Systems (“LPS” or “Center”) to publish a quarterly Quality Index that will serve as a composite benchmark for overall Center service delivery.

Purpose

The LifePath Systems Board of Trustees directed the development and implementation of a Quality Management Plan that incorporates required elements mandated by the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC). However, it is the intent of this QMP to go beyond mandated requirements to utilize the broad concepts of quality management (QM). The overall intent is to:

1. Use QM findings to develop current and future strategies for quality service delivery
2. Engage stakeholders through the common currency of quality
3. Recognize and implement quality activities
4. Evaluate the Center’s progress toward our mission and values
5. Exceed contractual quality service delivery requirements from Center funding sources

LifePath Systems Principles of Governance

Our Mission
To serve individuals and families impacted by behavioral health, intellectual or developmental challenges, resulting in stronger communities.

Our Values
LifePath Systems is committed to the following values:

1. Service Excellence:
   We will provide timely, professional, effective and efficient service to all individuals receiving services.
2. **Stewardship of Resources:**
   We will utilize all Center resources efficiently, appropriately, and with transparency and ethical and fiscal accountability.

3. **Integrity:**
   We will act with honesty and honor without compromising the truth.

4. **Workforce Development:**
   We understand that the professionalism and drive of our people are the most important factors in the quality of the services LPS provides. We will hire talented people, increase their skills through training and experience, and provide opportunities for personal and professional growth within the Center.

5. **Credibility:**
   We will strive to earn enduring credibility with our stakeholders, which we believe is essential to maximizing our potential as a health care provider.

6. **Community:**
   We will continue to help meet the needs of an underserved segment of our population thus contributing to society and demonstrating social responsibility.

7. **Continuous Improvement in Measurable Ways:**
   We will identify the key needs of individuals receiving services, assess how well we meet those needs, continuously improve our services and measure our progress.

*Authority, Leadership and Delegation of Responsibility*

This Quality Management Plan has been created at the direction of the LifePath Systems Board of Trustees. The Chief Executive Officer has delegated the responsibility for the development, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the QMP to the Director of Compliance, Planning and Quality Initiatives, with oversight by the Executive Compliance and Quality Assurance Committee (ECQAC). The ECQAC is comprised of senior management, including the: Chief Executive Officer; Chief Operating Officer; Chief Financial Officer; Director of Compliance, Planning and Quality Initiatives; Director of Human Resources; Director of BH; Director of IDD; and the Director of ECS. The ECQAC and the Chief Executive Officer approve the QMP and the Board of Trustees accepts the QMP on a biennial basis.

In addition to the ECQAC, each operating division maintains ongoing committees designed to measure, assess, and act on operational performance in a manner to ensure continuous quality improvement for all individuals who receive services from LPS, as well as other stakeholders. Additional committees are discussed below.

*Governance and Compliance*

This QMP is designed to ensure conformance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, including the regulations and guidance promulgated by the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC), and other regulatory bodies with oversight responsibility. In addition, the QMP will
comply with all quality performance requirements as presented in funding contracts with federal, state and local entities.

**Components of the LifePath Systems QMP**

The LifePath Systems Quality Management Plan is comprised of four (4) sections:

1. **Scope, Methodology and Timing for the LPS Quality Index (QI);**
2. Behavioral Health (BH) division work plan, including the Crisis Center;
3. Intellectual and Developmental Disability (IDD) division work plan; and,
4. Early Childhood Services (ECS) division work plan.
5. **Client Rights**
SECTION 1. SCOPE, METHODOLOGY AND TIMING FOR THE LPS QUALITY INDEX (QI)

Scope
Historically, client satisfaction has been measured at the operating division level using periodic surveys and applicable division methodology.

The goal of the LPS Quality Index (QI) is to consolidate each division’s survey measures. Normalizing each division’s survey results into a single, periodic measure thus allows those charged with governance to easily monitor changes in client satisfaction (quality) on a consolidated basis. Additionally, the LPS QI allows those charged with governance to review client satisfaction across the divisions more frequently (on a quarterly basis). The QI will also provide individuals who receive services and other stakeholders with an easy to understand measurement tool to assess LPS quality initiative progress.

Methodology
Each survey response has a numerical score associated with it (e.g. "Strongly Agree" = 5). The number of times a response is selected will be tallied and used by the Compliance and Quality Assurance department to calculate a client satisfaction percentage. The LPS QI is the sum of the weighted average division-level client satisfaction percentages. The weighting is based on the approximate annual revenue from each operating division (measured for the fiscal year preceding the calculation) and a flat 5 percent allocated to Administration. For fiscal years 2019-2020 the weighting is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDD</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timing
Beginning in fiscal year 2019 (FY19), each division will be responsible for providing the Compliance and Quality Assurance (CQA) department with survey data by the end of the 2nd week of the month following the end of the fiscal quarter being measured. To provide this survey data in a timely manner, all surveys for the quarter will be requested and completed on the schedule provided in ATTACHMENT A.

After the divisions compile and remit survey data for the fiscal quarter, the Compliance and Quality Assurance department is responsible for calculating the LPS QI. The LPS QI will be presented to the LPS Executive Compliance and Quality Assurance Committee (ECQAC) on the 1st Tuesday of the calendar quarter. The LPS QI will then be available to the LPS Board of Trustees.
SECTION 2. BEHAVIORAL HEALTH DIVISION WORK PLAN

The BH Quality Management Plan encourages workforce members at all levels to establish, maintain and continually improve communications with individuals receiving services, family, workforce members, and other community stakeholders. A clear line of communication leads to better services and plan support by identifying problems and implementing effective solutions. The following key components of the BH QMP represent quality management activities performed by BH workforce members to systematically evaluate service delivery and monitor quality:

Contract Monitoring
The BH Management Team is responsible for monitoring compliance with identified fiscal and qualitative indicators for contracted providers. Data are evaluated to make informed decisions regarding re-contracting with service providers.

Utilization Management
A Utilization Management Program (UMP) that ensures individuals receiving services receive the correct services, in the appropriate amount, at the scheduled time; with timely and meaningful assessments; accurate assignments of level of need; and determination of medical necessity.

Feedback from State Contract and Other Oversight Entities
Reports, data and results from onsite reviews or desk reviews from the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) are used to identify performance improvement activities and to assess unmet needs of individuals receiving services and service delivery issues.

Compliance Documentation Reviews
Contract compliance reviews conducted by BH Quality Management (QM), appropriate Program Administrators, and contracted providers designed to address the quality of assessments, progress notes and treatment plans/recovery plans throughout the fiscal year. BH QM will work with providers to correct instances of non-compliance by providing technical assistance and necessary follow-up to correct any concerns or deficiencies identified.

Compliance Billing Reviews
Compliance Billing Review designed to assess timeliness and completeness of service coding and compliance to regulatory billing requirements.

Safety and Risk Management Reviews
The BH UM/QM Department will review all incident reports and medication errors from each provider to identify trends and patterns related to safety or health risks. In addition, facility inspections are completed for all providers and results are submitted to the facility manager for action.

---

1 For more information see the LifePath Systems Utilization Management Plan, 2019-2020
Death Reviews
All deaths are reviewed by the BH Death Review Committee to determine if the death resulted from inadequate care on the part of the provider. Results are then reported to the BH UM/QM Committee.

Workforce Member Qualifications and Competency
Qualifications and education are verified prior to hire. All workforce members complete required training and a competency assessment as required for their job description and responsibilities. Compliance is monitored by Human Resources, BH Quality Management, and contracted providers.

MBOW Data Warehouse
The reports generated in the state database are continually reviewed by appropriate Program Administrators to monitor the BH Division’s performance on a variety of indicators for both authority and provider roles.

YES Waiver
The Youth Empowerment Services (YES) Waiver provides comprehensive home and community-based mental health services to youth (ages 3-19th birthday) at risk of institutionalization and/or out-of-home placement due to a Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED). The program provides flexibility in the funding of intensive community-based services and supports for youth and their families.

As LifePath Systems BH Division will be a participating entity providing YES Waiver (Waiver) services, workforce members must ensure adequate quality management activities by collecting data and measuring, assessing, and improving performance dimensions in the following areas:

1. LifePath Systems BH workforce members will provide timely access to Waiver services. This includes the initial time frame of returning calls within 1 business day, and any subsequent Yes Waiver length of time requirements.
2. LifePath Systems BH workforce members will provide timely enrollment of participants within 7 business days of meeting with the individual receiving services and legally authorized representative (LAR). Documentation will be submitted within 5 business days of aforementioned meeting.
3. LifePath Systems BH wraparound facilitators will provide at least one billable service per month (or monthly monitoring if the need for service(s) is less than monthly).
4. LifePath Systems BH wraparound facilitators will base all individual plans of care and services on underlying needs and outcome statements. Documentation will be based on specific wraparound process and procedures established by the National Wraparound Institute (NWI).
5. LifePath Systems BH wraparound facilitators will provide services according to the individual receiving services’ authorization.
6. LifePath Systems BH wraparound facilitators will participate in all Child and Family Team meetings and will document such encounters within 48 hours.
7. LifePath Systems BH wraparound facilitators will ensure the development and revision of the service authorization, and enter such revisions for approval in CMHBS or other approved electronic health record location.

8. LifePath Systems workforce members will identify and update health and safety risk factors in accordance with NWI guidelines.

9. LifePath Systems workforce members will submit, collect and analyze critical incident data according to LifePath Systems guidelines.

10. LifePath Systems workforce members will credential and train providers in accordance with Yes Waiver and LMHA standards and procedures.

11. LifePath Systems workforce members will adhere to all policies and procedures and contractual obligations.

12. LifePath Systems workforce members will ensure and maintain continuity of care.

Program Specific Responsibility and Timing

The Quality Management Work Plan is intended to outline the activities of the BH Quality Management Program and assign the appropriate workforce member and the frequency of the activity.

1. The appropriate workforce member is subject to change as roles and assigned tasks evolve.

2. The identified frequency of the respective activity is based on a minimum recurrence and may recur more frequently.

3. Any new contracts/programs initiated during the biennium will be reviewed by QM and Program Administrators in accordance with QM Best Practices and will be referred when appropriate to the ECQAC.

ATTACHMENT B details the responsibility and time frame for all services and programs.

Quality Management Reviews for Quality Improvement and Assurance

BH Quality Management has established a specific set of remedies and timeline options for areas requiring improvement or correction. Once reviews/surveys/audits are completed, they are presented to the Program Administrators for further input.

Communication with BH Quality Management occurs via in-person meetings, emails, and conference calls. BH Quality Management will require corrective action plans or plans of improvement for reviews that are substandard or score below 80%. These plans will address training needs, technical assistance, and necessary follow-up to correct any concern or deficiency. If an external audit requires a corrective action plan or plan of improvement, BH Quality Management will review the plan for content so all deficiencies are addressed adequately. BH Quality Management also monitors timely submission of all corrective action plans and plans of improvement.
Stakeholder Involvement
The Behavioral Health Planning and Network Advisory Committee is composed of at least 9 individuals, 50 percent of whom shall be individuals receiving services, family members of individuals receiving services, or other interested citizens from our community. The Behavioral Health Planning and Network Advisory Committee (BH PNAC) meets monthly to bi-monthly to discuss current issues and policies on a variety of topics that impact service delivery, network expansion, evaluation and development.

It is the goal of the BH PNAC to enhance the quality of Center services by providing information and feedback to the LifePath Systems Board of Trustees regarding Behavioral Health (mental health and substance use) services. In order to achieve that goal, the BH PNAC serves as a resource to the Board of Trustees by assisting in the following areas:

A. Identification and prioritization of service needs;
B. Learning about existing and new client services;
C. Development of the Local Plan$^2$ and the Provider Network Development Plan
D. Assisting in developing resources for Center programs; and
E. Advocacy

---

$^2$ Local Plan = Consolidated Local Service Plan
SECTION 3. INTELLECTUAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES WORK PLAN

The Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD) division offers an array of services and supports for individuals seeking services with a priority population diagnosis. Eligibility determinations, community resource information, and referrals are coordinated via the division’s Front Door Service Program. Individuals seeking services are provided assistance with the enrollment and coordination of a myriad of services including:

1. Preadmission Screening and Resident Review (PASRR);
2. Home and Community based Services (HCS);
3. Texas Home Living (TxHmL);
4. ICF/MR;
5. Emergency Crisis Intervention Services;
6. Non-waiver program services;
7. Consumer benefit enrollment service assistance;
8. Supported Employment program services;
9. Hospital and state school liaison assistance; and
10. County Support Grant

A comprehensive outline of current services and programs is provided in ATTACHMENT C.

Quality Program Functions
The Intellectual and Developmental Disability (IDD) division’s Quality Management Plan (QMP) is comprised of various components carefully coordinated together to meet the needs of the individuals served, and includes the following components:

1. Contract compliance monitoring;
2. Contract management;
3. Committee support assistance;
4. Local and Permanency Planning;
5. Data evaluation;
6. Quality Review; and
7. Satisfaction and Client Rights

The Intellectual and Developmental Disability (IDD) division’s Quality Management Team (QMT) is comprised of a leadership hierarchy. The IDD QMT hierarchy includes:

1. Division Director;
2. Program Administrator for Quality Assurance and Medical Records;
3. Program Administrator for Waiver Program Services;
4. Program Administrator for Non-Waiver and/or General Revenue Program Services;
5. Program Administrator for Crisis Service Intervention Services;
6. Program Administrator for Front Door/PASRR Services;
7. Program Administrator for Supported Employment Services; and

The IDD QMT meet on a weekly basis to review data, share ideas, and discuss issues affecting program productivity, to evaluate progress and make programmatic changes as needed.

**Stakeholder Involvement**

The IDD Planning and Network Advisory Committee (PNAC) is composed of at least nine members, fifty percent of whom shall be Individuals or family members of Individuals, including family members of children or adolescents, and a liaison from the LPS Board of Trustees, or another composition approved by Health and Human Services Commission. The committee advises the LifePath Systems’ Board of Trustees in the following areas:

1. Planning for community needs
2. Prioritizing service needs and delivery options
3. Budget reviews
4. Identifying Center problems and successes
5. Quality Reviews
6. Identifying opportunities for the Center
7. Assisting the Board and workforce members to gain input from stakeholders
8. Advise the center in the development of the Local Plan
9. Review reports of Local Plan implementation and report to the Board at least quarterly regarding needs and priorities and implementation of plans and contracts.
10. Respond to special charges assigned to it by the Board of Trustees.

**Measuring, Assessing and Improving Authority Functions**

LifePath Systems has developed an annual calendar that provides a structure to ensure that all items named in this plan are reviewed by the appropriate body in the appropriate time frame.

**Provider Relations**

The Center has made great strides in developing a robust provider network. LifePath Systems’ IDD division currently contracts with more than 119 family contractors for respite services, eleven (11) day habilitation programs, and fifteen (15) specialized service providers. The IDD Quality Management Team is responsible for recruiting providers of services, performing credentialing functions, delivering special needs training for family contractors, and providing technical assistance with respect to data collection and progress note documentation. The IDD Quality Management Team also monitors the submission of contractor progress notes to ensure data accuracy, appropriateness of billing, and completeness of documentation for billed services. A provider complaint process has been developed and disseminated.

**Waiting List Maintenance**

IDD Front Door team members review HCS interest list status with individuals seeking services on a biennial basis. The general revenue (GR) service coordinators review the preferences of individuals

---

3 Local Plan = Consolidated Local Plan
seeking services on an annual basis and the Front Door workforce members maintain a database of services that individuals are waiting for that are not currently available. The IDD Management Team reviews the GR and HCS interest lists at least monthly and the interest list numbers are reported to the Board monthly.

The Consumer Benefits Specialist and Intake Specialist work cooperatively on an on-going basis to identify individuals seeking services who are potentially Medicaid eligible. Individuals seeking services identified as potentially eligible for Medicaid are referred by the Intake Specialist to the Consumer Benefits Specialist who then completes a further evaluation of their eligibility. Individuals seeking services who are found likely eligible by the Consumer Benefits Specialist are helped in applying for Medicaid. The Intake Specialist refers individuals seeking services who are placed on the waiting list to the County Support Grant worker to determine their eligibility for that program.

Productivity
The Service Coordination and Community Services Program Administrators review productivity reports to measure employee units of service against an established benchmark for each job type monthly. This information is shared with workforce members and plans of improvement are implemented as needed. With the recent change in the Targeted Case Management (TCM) billing methodology, monthly billing productivity reports will also be reviewed with workforce members and the IDD Management Team monthly.

Contract Compliance
IDD QM works to ensure that all contract reporting requirements are met and submitted in a timely fashion. These include critical incident report generation and submission, HCS, TxHmL and Special Interest list maintenance activities and enrollment activities. The Front Door workforce members monitor the status of permanency plans to ensure that they are completed and updated in compliance with the Performance Contract. The Program Administrator for Front Door services present reports regarding enrollment services at IDD management team meetings to ensure enrollments are completed in a timely basis and issues can be addressed as needed.

Measuring, Assessing and Improving Services

Retrospective Reviews
IDD QMT conducts regular reviews of healthcare records associated with any individual receiving services who has been moved to inactive status or closed out of service to ensure that the discharge was documented appropriately, services provided were appropriate and that links were made with other service providers as needed. Review results are provided to the Service Coordination Program Administrator, as well as the individual Service Coordinators. A monitoring tool has been developed for use with the HCS-Service Coordination services. Non-compliant items are corrected before the chart is closed and archived.

Critical Incident Reporting System
IDD QMT is responsible for reviewing all incident reports. A trending spreadsheet was developed to assist in tracking all incidents and assuring that trends are identified and addressed. In addition to reporting CIRS data to HHSC follow-up is done if incidents are not resolved adequately or a pattern emerges that
requires attention i.e. medication errors or behavioral episodes. A benchmark was set of no more than 3 medication errors per quarter in residential services; exceeding that number will trigger a requirement for plan of correction and disciplinary action as appropriate. Any use of physical restraint will require an authorized clinical professional to ensure behavioral issues are addressed and compliance standards are maintained.

**Satisfaction and Rights**
IDD QMT is responsible for the coordination, distribution, and collection of submitted responses to satisfaction surveys with families of individuals receiving services on a quarterly basis. Results are reported to the IDD Quality Management Team, the Center’s Compliance and Quality Assurance department, and the Planning and Network Advisory Committee. Program Administrators are responsible for reviewing the results of surveys and follow-up with individuals receiving services by request. IDD QMT participates in the solicitation and successful resolution of complaints and monitors the process. The monitoring process consists of assisting individuals receiving services with documenting complaints and ensuring resolution by following the complaint through the established process flow until a satisfactory resolution is reached. IDD QMT also work cooperatively with the Office of Client Rights Protection at HHSC to resolve complaints of individuals receiving services at LPS that are received by that office.

**External Audits**
IDD QMT members assists program workforce members in preparing for external audits, such as HCS, ICF-IID, TxHmL and TWC. IDD QMT members participate in preparing plans of correction and monitoring to ensure planned changes have been implemented.

**Accuracy of CARE Data**
CARE accuracy is monitored monthly by Program Administrators to ensure data reported to CARE reflects service provision. Program Administrators work with the MIS department to make any necessary corrections.

**Accuracy of Encounter Data**
The IDD Quality Management Team is responsible for coordinating the review of submitted encounter data on a monthly basis and must address any outliers or process issues. Monitoring of encounter data has led to weekly review of duplicate service data reports and timeliness of service entry reports. These reports are available through the Center’s billing system by each service area Program Administrator. Corrections and process revisions are made at that level to provide accurate and timely data for the eventual encounter submission.

**Measuring, Assessing and Improving Service Capacity and Access to Services**

IDD Program Administrators gather program data monthly and report through the Program Director to the Executive Compliance and Quality Assurance Committee (ECQAC) and the Board of Trustees. Elements reported include:

1. Number Referred
2. Number of Intakes
3. Number Served
ECQAC team members meet on a monthly basis to review and evaluate the Center’s overall performance on the areas surrounding issues involving access and service capacity.

**Prevention of Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation**
Abuse and neglect reports are tracked and reported monthly as part of CIRS data reporting. In FY18 there were no reports of abuse and neglect involving General Revenue individuals receiving services or workforce members.

Any confirmed allegations are reviewed by the IDD Management Team, the Compliance and Quality Assurance department, and the Planning Network Advisory Committee. Additional workforce member training, changes in staffing patterns and new assessment devices such as the full body screening checklist have been implemented as a result of previous reviews and evaluation. A recent recommendation to train individuals receiving services on how to identify and report abuse is in the process of being implemented.

Training in abuse and neglect reporting procedures for residential workforce members is done every six months (once during the formal agency computer-based training module and twice during face to face, on-unit training by the Authority Functions Program Administrator).

A protocol was developed for workforce members to follow in observing for signs of bruises and other injuries when assisting in bathing, dressing or other activities; and how to report any findings. Workforce members involved in this type of assistance for individuals receiving services have been trained on the use of this protocol and have signed off on it.

We continue distributing “business cards” with the number for Department of Family Protective Services (DFPS) and definitions of abuse and neglect to all workforce members, individuals receiving services, and families of individuals receiving services. We also developed and distributed a flier describing abuse and how to report it when it occurs in the community or family home and involves someone other than agency workforce members.

**Plan Monitoring**
The Quality Management Plan will be reviewed quarterly in conjunction with the Local Plan review by the IDD Management Team and the Public Advisory Committee. An annual calendar will be developed by the team to schedule regular review of plans and reports to ensure that reviews are completed as planned and documented as required. The Quality Management Plan is updated biennially.
SECTION 4. EARLY CHILDHOOD SERVICES (ECS) QUALITY MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN

Lifepath Systems ensures the effective delivery of services for early childhood services (ECS) with a home-based, evidence-based, family-centered model. Children, birth to the age of three (3) who have a developmental delay, disability or a qualifying medical diagnosis are served through various therapeutic services that utilize the caregiver/child dyad and the natural settings and routines of the child.

Services provided include Physical Therapy, Speech Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Nutritional Services, Counseling, Specialized Skills training, and Case Management. Children may also receive auditory impairment and visual impairment services through AI and VI teachers provided by the lead education agency through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) at the state level.

Early childhood services maintain a contract with Texas Health and Human Services Commission to provide these services. There are three Memorandums of Understanding that the State of Texas maintains through Health and Human Service Commission that affect services in early childhood services at Lifepath Systems. There is a Memorandum of Understanding with Department of Family Protective Services that mandates a process in which Child Protective Services workers can refer children for an evaluation in early childhood services. The CPS Regional office also maintains an agreement with local early childhood providers as part of this MOU. Texas Health and Human Service Commission and the Texas Education Agency also have a Memorandum of Understanding to ensure a smooth transition for children and their families receiving early intervention services under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act to preschool special education services under Part B of IDEA; and also to establish a statewide system of services to identify and serve children, birth to 36 months, with auditory impairments (AI) and visual impairments (VI) who are eligible to receive services under Part C of the IDEA and the Texas Education code. There is a Memorandum of Understanding between Health and Human Services Commission and Head Start that ensures that early intervention services will include Head Start in program planning and the early interventions services will assist families in accessing Head Start services.

Early childhood intervention services are provided by licensed OT’s, PT’s, ST’s, Registered Dietitians, LPC or LCSW’s, SLPA’s, Service Coordinators, and Early Intervention Specialists. An early intervention specialist is a person with a bachelor’s or master’s level education in child development or a related field and has gone through a credentialing process with the state of Texas. An EIS is trained to look at all areas of development and how they all work together to address developmental delays. A Service Coordinator also holds a bachelor’s or master’s level education in child development or a related field and has gone through a web-based training including observations and demonstrations.

At Lifepath Systems, our early childhood intervention services serve children living in Kaufman, Rockwall, Collin, Grayson, and Fannin counties. Children are served in their natural setting with their caregivers. These natural settings may include home, daycare, a relatives’ home, a park, a restaurant, a grocery store, or any other place where the child spends time and where the service provider may offer strategies for caregivers to work toward helping a child master a task. A caregiver may include a parent, teacher, relative, foster parent, or anyone who cares for that child on a somewhat regular basis.
At Lifepath Systems, in early childhood intervention services, the hierarchy of Management is as follows: the Program Director is the top tier, managing the program; there are 3 Program Administrators divided by geographic area that provide the day to day management of the 5 counties in the program, including management of approximately 40 to 45 workforce members each; there is one Public Outreach provider that covers all 5 counties; there is one Program Administrator for Quality Improvement that provides both initial and ongoing workforce member training, monitors quality indicators, and provides assistance to the Program Administrators as needed.

To receive services, early childhood intervention services require the following:
Referral: A referral can come from anyone who has concerns for a child’s development. It can be a doctor, parent, teacher, family member, or friend. Referrals can come by fax, by phone, by walk-in, and through our website. Once the family is reached, the family will be scheduled for an eval and will receive verification via mail or email.

Evaluation
A child will come to a designated Lifepath Systems office with their family or caregiver for an initial evaluation using the Battelle Developmental Inventory, which is the state mandated assessment tool to determine eligibility. The family will know at that visit if their child qualifies and will be given the option of enrolling in services that day or coming back another time to enroll.

Enrollment
An Individualized Family Service Plan will be developed to define services and goals. This plan will include Case Management, provided by a Service Coordinator, who will address ongoing needs, satisfaction with services, offer resources, and monitor timelines to ensure that the family receives all the services that are proposed in a timely manner, as defined by the state.

For ongoing services, early childhood services provide the following

Ongoing Service Provision
As services are provided, the identified team provides the services defined on the Individualized Family Service Plan. They monitor goals and update as needed. An Individualized Family Service Plan may be initiated by any team member, which includes the caregiver, to modify services to meet the needs of the child. The Service Coordinator continues to monitor satisfaction, provision of services, and needs of the family throughout the time that the child is enrolled.

Annually
The child will receive an annual evaluation to determine ongoing eligibility and needs. The team will be present at the evaluation and discuss the evaluation results and ongoing needs of the child and a new Individualized Family Services Plan will be completed.

Transition
The Service Coordinator, with the family, will develop a transition process for the time when the child will exit the program. The Service Coordinator will assist the family in enrolling in the school district that serves that child for any ongoing therapeutic needs and provide resources for any other transition services that the family may be interested in such as daycare, private therapy, or play groups.
Exit
A child will exit early intervention childhood services when the child turns 3, no longer qualifies, or the family decides to leave services, as early intervention childhood services is a voluntary program.

Early childhood intervention services are funded by State Funds through Health and Human Services Commission, by public and private insurance companies, and by a sliding scale family cost share. HHSC closely monitors quality and compliance of all early childhood services through 7 quality indicators:

1. Number of children enrolled, which is a predetermined number that is given to the early childhood service provider by HHSC at the beginning of the new fiscal year
2. 45 day timeline: the child will be enrolled in services within 45 days of the date of referral
3. 28 day timeline: the child will receive each new service listed on an Individualized Family plan within 28 days of that plan
4. Average hours served: the program will provide a predetermined number of averaged hours per child per month
5. Transition Steps will be developed: a child will have a plan for being considered for ongoing developmental services through the school district unless declined by the family
6. Notification to LEA: early childhood services will provide all lead education agencies a notification for each child that may need ongoing services in a timely manner unless the family has declined that notification
7. Transition Conference: the family will have a transition conference with the Service Coordinator that will go over the process of being evaluated for ongoing services through the school district, and how services may be different from early childhood services, unless family declines

In our pursuit of excellence, early childhood intervention services monitor these quality indicators carefully and the Program Administrator for Quality Improvement reports to all Program Administrators and the Director on these indicators monthly. Early childhood intervention services has begun the process for utilizing parent surveys for initial enrollment, ongoing services, and program exit to look at other areas that indicate quality such as families access to services, understanding of their evaluation results and services recommended, responsiveness and reliability of workforce members, workforce member sensitivity, and overall experience with early childhood services. The Program Administrator for Quality Improvement is responsible for gathering the data and presenting to the Program Administrators and the Director.
SECTION 5. CLIENT RIGHTS

Client Rights
Individuals receiving services at Lifepath Systems shall be regarded as having the same legal rights as any other citizen not enrolled in Center services unless it is documented that their legal rights have legally restricted, in which case they shall enjoy all rights except those which have been legally restricted. Lifepath Systems workforce members are responsible for the presentation of Client Rights to each individual receiving services (or legally authorized representative) upon admission. Furthermore, the individual receiving services shall receive a full verbal and written explanation of their rights in a language they understand upon request (interpreters of language or sign are to be provided as needed).

Client Abuse, Exploitation, & Neglect
Abuse or neglect of individuals receiving services by a Lifepath Systems workforce member is expressly prohibited and shall be grounds for disciplinary action. In addition, LifePath Systems workforce members are required to make reports of possible abuse, neglect, and/or exploitation immediately upon discovery (within one hour of awareness of the alleged abuse or neglect). Reports not made within the required time, and without sufficient justification, shall be considered in violation of this requirement and subject to disciplinary action and possible criminal prosecution.
## FY 19 LPS QI Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Qtr.</th>
<th>Request &amp; Receive Surveys for the period ranging from:</th>
<th>Deadline to Remit Qtrly Survey Data</th>
<th>Present LPS QI to ECQAC</th>
<th>Present LPS QI to Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## FY 20 LPS QI Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Qtr.</th>
<th>Request &amp; Receive Surveys for the period ranging from:</th>
<th>Deadline to Remit Qtrly Survey Data</th>
<th>Present LPS QI to ECQAC</th>
<th>Present LPS QI to Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATTACHMENT B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Services</strong></td>
<td><strong>Person/Entity Responsible</strong></td>
<td><strong>Time Frame</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assertive Community Treatment</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported Employment</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported Housing</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness Management &amp; Recovery</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children &amp; Adolescent Services</strong></td>
<td><strong>Person/Entity Responsible</strong></td>
<td><strong>Time Frame</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma Focused-CBT</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking Safety</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression Replacement Techniques</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES Waiver</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanism</strong></td>
<td><strong>Person/Entity Responsible</strong></td>
<td><strong>Time Frame</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Contracts Review/Provider Network Development</td>
<td>Contracts Management</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Accuracy Review</td>
<td>Finance Team/Information Services/Programs Administrators</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPSD Review</td>
<td>QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidelity Review</td>
<td>Program Administrators/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome Measures – MBOW Reports</td>
<td>Program Administrators/UM/QM</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Infrastructure Review – Safety Review</td>
<td>Facilities Management</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Plan Review</td>
<td>Director Human Resources &amp; Contracts Management</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints/Appeals</td>
<td>Director of UM/QM</td>
<td>As occurs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction Surveys</td>
<td>QM/Compliance and Quality Assurance</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Profiling – Electronic Health Record Reports, MBOW Reports, Unit Progress Reports</td>
<td>Data Management/Contracts QM</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization Management – MBOW Reports, Hospitalization Data, Appeals, CAM/MAC, Crisis</td>
<td>Medical Director of UM QM UM Managers</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Facilities/Office Manager/Compliance Officer/BH Nursing Manager</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td>Compliance Officer</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death Reporting</td>
<td>Director of UM/QM, Nursing Manager</td>
<td>As Occurs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Description</td>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection Control Monitoring</td>
<td>Infection Control RN</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity Monitoring – Electronic Health Record Reports</td>
<td>Information Services Program Administrators/QM</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Services/Mystery Caller</td>
<td>QM ICARE Hotline</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCOOMMI</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Diversion</td>
<td>QM Program Administrator</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Competency Restoration (OCR)</td>
<td>QM Program Administrator</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey of Contract Services</td>
<td>Contracts Management</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis Services - MBow Reports, Record Review</td>
<td>QM Program Administrator</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSA/CANS Quality Assurance Training</td>
<td>ANSA/CANS Super Users</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting List – MBO Reports, Progress Note Review</td>
<td>UM Manager/Director Intake Program Administrator Assistant Directors of Authority and Provider Services</td>
<td>Weekly (if Waiting List implemented)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribing Practices Review</td>
<td>Pharmacy Review Committee</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Financial Status and Budget</td>
<td>Executive Management</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1115 Medicaid Transformation Waiver</td>
<td>QM Project Analyst Director of Behavioral Health</td>
<td>Semiannual Reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Plan Review and Progress Note Review</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASRR Review</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Treatment – Analyze services for each service level and contract population. Must include evidenced based practices, programs, and research based approaches to</td>
<td>Substance Abuse Workforce/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Treatment, Service Capacity, Access to Services, and Continuum of Care</td>
<td>QM</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH Quality Management Tools</td>
<td>QM</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with TAC</td>
<td>Director of UM/QM</td>
<td>QM/Compliance and Quality Assurance</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity of Care</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of Quality Management Plan Activities</td>
<td>UM/QM Committee</td>
<td>Quarterly; On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Reporting</td>
<td>QM</td>
<td>As Occurs; Semiannually Trending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Competency</td>
<td>Human Resources, Program Administrator, QM</td>
<td>Annually; On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Management Plan</td>
<td>Director of BH</td>
<td>Director of UM/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Assistance Provision</td>
<td>QM</td>
<td>On-Going</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Council Data and Quality Measures</td>
<td>Information Services, QM</td>
<td>As Requested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended Monitoring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wraparound Planning</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurturing Parenting</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Planning Intervention</td>
<td>Program Administrator/QM</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT C

IDD Program Service Outline

I. Front Door/PASRR
   1. Intake Screening and Assessment
   2. Eligibility Determination
   3. Permanency Planning
   4. Enhanced Community Coordination
   5. PASRR Service Coordination
   6. Waiver/non-waiver enrollment

II. Crisis Services/Clinical Services
   1. Crisis Services
   2. IDD Clinic

III. Provider Services
   1. HCS—group homes, community support, host home companion care
   2. TxHmL—community support
   3. ICF—group homes

IV. Supported Employment
   1. Job Placement
   2. Supported Employment
   3. Job training
   4. Continued support

V. Service Coordination
   1. HCS Service Coordination
   2. TxHmL Service Coordination
   3. Non-Waiver Service Coordination
   4. CFC Service Coordination

VI. Quality Assurance
   1. Contract compliance monitoring
   2. Contract management
   3. Data evaluation
   4. Consumer benefits
   5. Client rights

VII. Director
   1. County Support Grant
ATTACHMENT D

ECS SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Appendices:

Indicator 1:
Total Enrollment: ECI is contracted with Health and Human Resources to provide services to an established number of children per fiscal year. This number is developed by looking at an average number served over a few months of the past fiscal year. The compliance is established by meeting this number of children on average over a 6-month span of that fiscal year. This is a compliance indicator with a performance target of 100%. If the provider of early childhood intervention services was out of compliance, HHSC could take money back from the provider.

Indicator 2:
Timely Services: Percent of infants and toddlers with an Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) who receive the early intervention services in a timely manner. Timely Receipt of Services is a compliance indicator with a target of 100%. A child must start receiving all of the planned IFSP services within 28 days from when the parent signs the IFSP. The indicator refers to the percentage of children for whom all services are timely, not the percentage of services that are timely. If one or more of the services for a child are not delivered within the defined timeline, then the child would not be counted in the percentage of children receiving services.

Indicator 3:
45-Day Timeline: Percentage of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within the 45-day timeline. This is a compliance indicator with a performance target of 100%. Part C regulations specify that the initial evaluation and the initial assessments of the child and family, as well as the initial IFSP meeting must be completed within 45 days from the date a referral is received. For this indicator, states have the option to identify and count delays that are due to family circumstances as timely.

Indicator 4:
Average Monthly Number Served: Early intervention services must serve an average number of hours per child overall. This is a compliance indicator with a performance target of 100%. Early childhood intervention services must provide a predetermined number of hours to each enrolled child over the course of a month.

5. IFSPs with transition steps and services:
The child’s IFSP team starts preparing the child and family for transition out of Part C services when the child is between 27 and 32 months (and no less than 90 days prior to the child’s third birthday). A transition planning meeting will be held to discuss next steps, and how the child and family can prepare for transition to special education or to other community programs or resources. These Steps and Services correspond to specific Part C regulations. The percentage of IFSPs with transition steps and
services in place to support the transition of the child to preschool special education services has a compliance indicator with a performance target of 100%.

6. Notification to the local school district if the child is potentially eligible for Part B (Part B provides special education and related services to children and youth, ages 3 through 22): When the IFSP team meet with the family to discuss transition services, the team will notify the Lead Education Agency of the potential for eligibility for special education services. If the family opts out of the notification, then the LEA is not notified, however, the family can change their mind at which time the IFSP team notifies the LEA despite meeting the timeline. The percentage of children for whom notification was given to the local school district if the child was potentially eligible for preschool services under Part B has a compliance indicator with a performance target of 100%.

7. Transition conference, if child is potentially eligible for Part B: A Transition Conference meeting is held between the Service Coordinator and the family, with the rest of the IFSP team and the LEA being invited to attend with the family’s permission. This conference is held to explain the process of evaluation and enrollment, as well as how ongoing services are provided to eligible children through the LEA after early childhood intervention services stop at the age of 3. The family can choose to decline this meeting, even if they are interested in pursuing transition services with the LEA. The percentage of children for whom a transition conference was held, if the child was potentially eligible for preschool services under Part B has a compliance indicator with a performance target of 1.